



REAL TIME OPERATING SYSTEMS

REAL TIME OPERATING

- ◆ SYSTEMS said to be **Real Time** if it is required to complete it's work & deliver it's services on time.
- ◆ Example – Flight Control System
 - All tasks in that system must execute on time.
- ◆ Non Example – PC system

HARD AND SOFT REAL TIME SYSTEMS

Validation by provably correct procedures or extensive simulation that the system always meets the timings constraints

- ▣ Failure to meet deadlines is fatal
- ▣ example : Flight Control System

SOFT REAL TIME SYSTEM

- Demonstration of jobs meeting some statistical constraints suffices.
- Late completion of jobs is undesirable but not fatal.
- System performance degrades as more & more jobs miss deadlines
- Online Databases

ROLE OF AN OS IN REAL TIME SYSTEMS

~~Standard Applications~~

- ❑ Often no OS involved
- ❑ Micro controller based Embedded Systems

Some Real Time Applications are huge & complex

- ❑ Multiple threads
- ❑ Complicated Synchronization Requirements
- ❑ Filesystem / Network / Windowing support
- ❑ OS primitives reduce the software design time

FEATURES OF RTOS'S

- ❖ **Scheduling.**
- ❖ **Resource Allocation.**
- ❖ **Interrupt Handling.**
- ❖ **Other issues like kernel size.**

SCHEDULING IN RTOS

More information about the tasks are known

- ▣ No of tasks
- ▣ Resource Requirements
- ▣ Release Time
- ▣ Execution time
- ▣ Deadlines

Being a more deterministic system better scheduling algorithms can be devised.

SCHEDULING ALGORITHMS IN RTOS

- ❖ Clock Driven Scheduling

- ❖ Weighted Round Robin Scheduling

- ❖ Priority Scheduling

(Greedy / List / Event Driven)

CLOCK DRIVEN

- ▣ All parameters about jobs (release time/ execution time/deadline) known in advance.
- ▣ Schedule can be computed offline or at some regular time instances.
- ▣ Minimal runtime overhead.
- ▣ Not suitable for many applications.

WEIGHTED ROUND ROBIN

- Jobs scheduled in FIFO manner
- Time quantum given to jobs is proportional to it's weight
- Example use : High speed switching network QOS guarantee.
- Not suitable for precedence constrained jobs.
Job A can run only after Job B. No point in giving time quantum to Job B before Job A.

PRIORITY SCHEDULING

(Greedy/List/Event Driven)

- ▣ Processor never left idle when there are ready tasks
- ▣ Processor allocated to processes according to priorities
- ▣ Priorities
 - ▣ static - at design time
 - ▣ Dynamic - at runtime

PRIORITY

SCHEDULING

Earliest Deadline First (EDF)

- ▣ Process with earliest deadline given highest priority

Least Slack Time First (LSF)

- ▣ $\text{slack} = \text{relative deadline} - \text{execution left}$

Rate Monotonic Scheduling (RMS)

- ▣ For periodic tasks
- ▣ Tasks priority inversely proportional to it's period

RESOURCE ALLOCATION IN RTOS

Resource Allocation

- ▣ The issues with scheduling applicable here.
- ▣ Resources can be allocated in
 - ▣ Weighted Round Robin
 - ▣ Priority Based

Some resources are non preemptible

- ▣ Example : semaphores

Priority Inversion if priority scheduling is used

INTERRUPT

HANDLING IN

Interrupts are disabled in ISR/critical sections of the kernel

LINUX

No worst case bound on interrupt latency available

- eg: Disk Drivers may disable interrupt for few hundred milliseconds

Not suitable for Real Time Applications

- Interrupts may be missed

TWO LEVEL INTERRUPT

HANDLING

Two level Interrupt Handling

- ▣ Top Half Interrupt Handler
 - ▣ Called Immediately - Kernel never disables interrupts
 - ▣ Cannot invoke thread library functions - Race Conditions
- ▣ Bottom Half Interrupt Handler
 - ▣ Invoked when kernel not in Critical Section
 - ▣ Can invoke thread library functions

Very Low Response time (as compared to Linux)

OTHER FEATURES

Footprint

- ▣ Small footprint (~50kb)

Oskit's Device Driver Framework

- ▣ Allows direct porting of existing drivers from Linux.
- ▣ Example - Ethernet Driver of Linux